When delivering her opening-day speech to faculty at McKay K–8 School in Boston, second-year principal Almi Abeyta hoped that displaying recent state test results would “light a fire” among teachers and spark a powerful conversation about instructional improvement. Instead, teachers reacted with stunned silence, quickly followed by expressions of anger and frustration. It was the first they had heard about the prior year’s decline in language arts scores. Almi felt as if she “had dropped a bomb” on the room. Far from igniting collaborative energy, her presentation of achievement data seemed to have squelched it.

As schools respond to external pressure to raise student achievement, the perils of examining data loom large. How, school leaders may wonder, do you convince colleagues that engaging in ongoing, collaborative data discussions is worthwhile? How do you discuss data and instruction without finger-pointing or leaping to conclusions? And how do you use insights gleaned from the data to make meaningful—and lasting—instructional improvements?

A few years ago, we collaborated with a team of professors, school administrators, and graduate students to write Data Wise: A Step-by-Step Guide to Using Assessment Results to Improve Teaching and Learning (Harvard Education Press, 2005). The book offers an eight-step approach to collaborative, evidence-based instructional improvement (see “The ‘Data Wise’ Improvement Process,” HEL, January/February 2006). Since then, schools all over the country have adopted the Data Wise approach. As we worked with many of them, we realized that teachers and administrators who are spearheading the Data Wise improvement process in their schools—as well as those pursuing other approaches—often encounter similar questions and obstacles. So we set out to develop case studies of eight of these schools, documenting the leadership challenges that school leaders typically face during each step of the improvement process, as well as the strategies they use to address them.

**Investing in Preparation**

In the first phase of the Data Wise process, Prepare (see “The Data Wise Improvement Process,” p. 2), school leaders typically face two critical challenges: communicating the need for a data initiative and creating data teams that are equipped to lead the work. The leaders we studied confront these challenges in two ways: by making data relevant, and by giving their data teams time to develop the skills and systems they need to be successful.

**Make data relevant.** As school leaders embark on the improvement process, they need to convince staff that looking at data will not be yet another distraction from their work but will help them do that work more efficiently. For instance, when taking the helm of Newton North High School in Newton, Mass., a school with a history of high academic achievement, first-time principal Jennifer Price found herself in a situation where test scores could easily be dismissed as beside the point. She decided to focus on a topic of longstanding concern to both faculty and the community: how to close the school’s academic achievement gaps. This helped her recruit a large, diverse team of faculty members to gather and analyze data. Explaining her decision to make data relevant, Jen says, “Every department sees the achievement gap manifested in one way or another. By focusing the work of the data team on the achievement gap, the use of data becomes connected to why people come to work.”
In moving from the Prepare to the Inquire phase, school leaders often face another critical challenge: how to engage the entire faculty in honest conversations about data, particularly when, as Shari Robinson puts it, “Data can wound.” This was the challenge Almi Abeyta encountered in presenting her data to the McKay School faculty. In addressing that challenge, Almi and other leaders we observed demonstrate two important lessons: establish clear norms for looking at data, and conduct frequent, focused conversations about student learning.

Establish clear norms for data analysis. At McKay, Almi bounced back from her initial presentation and learned to lead productive data conversations by creating a transparent, nonthreatening discussion process. Adapting a protocol commonly used to analyze visual art, she and her data team now present test score data graphically during faculty meetings and ask teachers to ground their data interpretations in objective observations. With its focus on observation and objectivity, this approach facilitates rich conversations and minimizes the threat of finger-pointing or blame.

Conduct frequent, focused conversations about student learning. At Mur-
Is Your School Ready to Become “Data Wise”?  

If you are wondering whether your school is ready to use student data to improve teaching and learning, you may want to consider four key questions:

1. Is our principal committed to becoming a “Data Wise” leader?  
   For the Data Wise improvement process to work successfully at the school level, it is essential that the principal be on board. If you are the principal, this means that you must commit to building a culture based on trust, where teachers feel comfortable admitting what they don’t know and confident that they will be supported as they strive to improve their practice. If you are a teacher, coach, or administrator, the first step toward bringing your principal on board may be to discuss how the effective use of data might improve teaching and learning in your school.

2. Is there time for teachers to work collaboratively?  
   Teachers need time to engage regularly in conversations with colleagues about a wide range of data sources. Whether you rearrange your school schedule to ensure that teachers have ample common planning time or rethink the way you use existing common time, you’ll want to be sure that the insights arising in small group meetings can be shared among your entire staff.

3. Is there someone besides the principal who can oversee data management?  
   To make collaborative time most effective, it is critical that someone at your school—ideally not the principal—take responsibility for managing the data and ensuring that it is shared with teachers in a way that draws them into the conversation. For many schools, freeing up a teacher or administrator to work part-time for a year to create a system for collecting, analyzing, and discussing data is an investment that pays off for years to come.

4. Is there professional support for improving instruction?  
   Finally, if you want all your data work to translate into real changes in classroom practice, it is important to think ahead about how teachers will gain access to high-quality professional development, whether from within or outside the current school staff.

As for Almi Abeyta, the lessons she learned from her initial presentation fueled her determination to foster productive, collaborative data conversations among the faculty. Two years later, she was able to turn the opening-day presentation over to her enthusiastic data team, who presented evidence of academic improvement in several areas and then announced that McKay had made Adequate Yearly Progress in language arts. On hearing the news, teachers cheered. Then they dove right into a spirited discussion of how to build on their students’ progress in the coming year.

Jennifer L. Steele is a doctoral student at the Harvard Graduate School of Education. Kathryn Parker Boudett teaches at the Harvard Graduate School of Education and is the director of the Data Wise Project.