

Index

- Academic tasks and cognitive demand
 - academic tasks defined, 207
 - cognitive demand described, 211–212
 - high school history, 217–220, 220–221
 - high school math, 212–215, 215–217
 - middle school language arts, 208–212
- Academy of the Pacific Rim Charter School
 - advisory system, 78–79
 - assessment data use, 188, 189, 190
 - attitude toward retention, 161
 - basis of success, 88
 - boundary objects use, 184
 - college prep focus, 137
 - commitment to continuous improvement, 142
 - community meeting, 79–80
 - culture, 75–77, 127
 - Culture Guidebook, 75–76, 85
 - demographics, 73
 - expectations for staff, 140
 - expectations for students, 136
 - faculty workroom, 85–86
 - formal positive reinforcement, 193
 - high school learning environment, 71–72
 - hiring from within, 153
 - hiring process, 155–156, 162
 - instructional methodology, 75
 - internal accountability system, 83–86
 - KG-PRIDE program, 76, 87, 193
 - MCAS results, 80
 - merit bonuses for teachers, 83–86
 - middle school learning environment, 72–74
 - mission statement, 131, 132–133
 - scaffolding students' development, 86–88
 - student-teacher interactions, 176
 - systems used, 182
 - teacher induction and mentoring, 157, 158
 - teacher peer observation, 84–85
 - teachers' commitment to students, 81–83
 - teachers' typical day, 82–83
 - theory of action, 134
 - time allocation, 179, 180–181
 - vertical alignment of curriculum, 87–88
 - willingness to fire poor performers, 162, 186
- Achievement First, 116
- Aims, 41
- AmeriCorps, 98
- Applegate, B., 159
- Blackboard configuration (BBC), 200–201
- Bloom's Taxonomy, 207, 211, 220
- Blue Binders at Community Day, 28, 29–31, 189, 205
- Bosetti, L., 163
- Boston Collegiate Charter School
 - academic support system, 67
 - achievement recognition, 56
 - advisory activities, 54
 - assessment data use, 188, 189
 - attitude toward retention, 160–161
 - basis of success, 58–59
 - boundary objects use, 184
 - Code of Conduct, 66
 - college prep focus, 59–60, 137
 - commitment to continuous improvement, 142
 - culture, 66–69
 - daily assembly, 53
 - daily routine, 54–58
 - demographics, 53
 - disciplinary system, 65–69

- Boston Collegiate Charter School (*continued*)
- evaluating “fit,” 150
 - expectations for staff, 140–141
 - focus on study habits, 56–57
 - formal evaluation systems, 187
 - formal positive reinforcement, 193
 - hiring from within, 153–154
 - hiring process, 156, 162
 - MAPP score, 55, 193
 - MCAS results, 58
 - mechanism to ensure parental cooperation, 166
 - merits and demerits system, 191
 - mission statement, 131
 - morning routine, 51–53
 - student-teacher interactions, 55, 68, 176
 - systemized observation of teachers, 184
 - teacher development and acculturation, 62–63
 - teacher induction and mentoring, 157
 - teacher observation form, 185
 - teacher recruitment and hiring, 61
 - teacher retraining, 63–65
 - theory of action, 134
 - time allocation, 178
 - willingness to fire poor performers, 162
- Boudett, K.P., 188
- Boundary practices and boundary objects, 183–184
- Bradach, J., 120, 124
- Carter, S.C., 114
- Center for Education Reform, 96
- Charter management organizations (CMOs), 116
- Charter School Coherence Model (CSCM)
- culture and, 123–124
 - described, 122–123
 - interrelationships of elements, 123
 - people fit and, 124
 - presence of a clear mission, 150
 - structures and systems, 124
 - student outcomes and instruction, 124–125
- Charter School Coherence Model around Instruction (CSCMI), 232–233
- Charter schools
- basis of success, 11, 136–138, 228
 - beginning of movement, 115–116
 - coherence model (*see* Charter School Coherence Model)
 - commitment to continuous improvement, 141–143
 - common characteristics, 116–117
 - concept of dilemmas, 229–231
 - demographic data, 5–9t
 - focus on test scores, 231
 - frequently cited concerns about, 4, 6, 9
 - high expectations as a common success element, 136–138
 - lack of long-term data, 139–140
 - notion of coherence, 228
 - opportunity to embrace new outcomes and measures, 232–233
 - PELP Framework and, 122, 173
 - question on how they serve the public, 230
 - replicable and non-replicable features, 146–147
 - sense of nimbleness, 143–144
 - study description (*see* Charter schools study)
 - traditional public versus, 3
 - variations in results achieved, 1–2
 - See also individual schools*
- Charter schools study
- data analysis, 236–237, 242–243
 - data collection, 235–236
 - MCAS results, 239
 - methodology, 4
 - observation methodology, 241–242
 - results meeting protocol, 238
 - SAT results, 240
 - school selection criteria, 3–4
 - threats to validity, 237, 243–244
- Childress, Stacey, 118, 121, 134
- City, E.A., 188
- CMOs (charter management organizations), 116
- Code of Conduct, Boston Collegiate, 66
- Code of Conduct, MATCH
- content, 100–101, 192–193

- demerits and detention system, 106–107
- enforcement strategies, 103–104
- purpose, 102–103, 191
- screening students and parents for culture fit, 105–106
- screening teachers for culture fit, 104–105
- summer academy, 105
- Cognitive demand. *See* Academic tasks and cognitive demand
- Cohen, D., 222, 224
- Coherence in charter schools
 - coherence model (*see* Charter School Coherence Model)
 - importance to urban schools, 117–118
 - instructional practice and, 198
 - notion of, 150, 228
 - strategic coherence, 11, 128–129, 144–146
 - systems used and, 194
- Collins, James, 149, 154
- Community Day Charter Public School
 - assessment data use, 29–31, 189
 - assessments preparation, 205
 - attitude toward retention, 161
 - background, 16–17
 - basis of success, 31–32
 - behavior management, 194
 - boundary objects use, 184, 186
 - college prep focus, 137, 138
 - culture, 22–25, 26
 - curricula planning, 202
 - curriculum maps, 28
 - data compiled for parents, 31
 - demographics, 13, 15
 - end of the day routine, 21
 - evaluating “fit,” 150
 - expectations for families, 139
 - expectations for staff, 140
 - expectations for students, 137
 - focus on the individual child, 25–28
 - formal evaluation systems, 187
 - hiring process, 155, 156, 162
 - instructional leaders use, 174
 - learning environment, 14–16
 - MCAS data presentation, 29–30
 - mechanism to ensure parental cooperation, 166
 - mission statement, 17, 131–132, 133
 - morning routine, 13–14
 - organizational chart, 175
 - parent group, 23
 - parents’ view of culture, 22
 - parent-teacher relationship, 23, 165
 - professional relationships among staff members, 24
 - student learning assessments, 30–31
 - student-teacher interactions, 24–25, 176
 - systemized observation of teachers, 183
 - teacher induction and mentoring, 157
 - teachers’ monitoring of own effectiveness, 190
 - theory of action, 134
 - typical day described, 17–21
- Creed Deeds, Roxbury Prep, 47, 193
- CSCM. *See* Charter School Coherence Model
- CSCMI (Charter School Coherence Model around Instruction), 232–233
- Cuban, Larry, 229
- Culture
 - Boston Collegiate, 66–69
 - coherence and, 145–146
 - commitment to continuous improvement, 141–143
 - Community Day, 22–25, 26
 - in CSCM, 123–124
 - definitions of, 128
 - expectations for families and, 139
 - expectations for staff and, 140–141
 - high expectations as a common success element, 136–138
 - importance of, 129–130
 - MATCH, 104–106
 - Pacific Rim, 75–77, 85, 127
 - Roxbury Prep, 127
 - sense of nimbleness, 143–144
 - strategic coherence and, 11, 128–129, 144–146
 - systems and, 182, 186
 - values and expectations and, 135–136
 - ways to understand a school’s, 130
 - See also* Mission statements

- Culture Guidebook at Pacific Rim, 75–76, 85–86
- Curriculum-alignment template (CAT), 39, 40
- Darling-Hammond, L., 199, 203
- Deal, T., 128
- Demographics
 - Boston Collegiate, 53
 - Community Day, 13, 15
 - data for public and charter schools, 5–9t
 - MATCH, 91
 - Pacific Rim, 73
 - Roxbury Prep, 35
- Diamond, J.B., 183
- Dilemmas in school management, 229–231
- Discipline systems in schools
 - behavior management at Community Day, 194
 - behavior management at Roxbury Prep, 46–47
 - Boston Collegiate system, 65–69, 191, 193
 - Code of Conduct at MATCH, 103–104, 106–107
 - formal positive reinforcement, 193–194
 - merits and demerits, 191
 - written behavioral management system, 191, 193
- Do-Nows
 - Boston Collegiate, 54
 - Community Day, 17, 20
 - creative work with standards-based model, 40
 - curricula planning and, 40
 - lesson plans and, 41–43
 - MATCH, 91–93
 - Pacific Rim, 75
 - Roxbury Prep, 33, 39, 41, 42, 45
 - as a structural element, 200, 201
- Drucker, Peter, 118, 130, 142, 232
- Education Trust, 115
- Elmore, Richard, 140, 173, 188, 198, 224
- Family Accountability Contract, Boston Collegiate, 166
- Feinberg, Mike, 113
- Filby, Nicola, 116
- Frederick Douglass Academy, 41
- Gill, B., 139
- Goldstein, Michael, 97, 98, 108
- Graham, Patricia Albjerg, 114
- Grossman, A., 118
- Hawkins, D., 206
- Hiebert, J., 206
- Homework Club at Boston Collegiate, 142
- Instructional leaders, 174, 175
- Instructional practice
 - academic tasks and (*see* Academic tasks and cognitive demand)
 - assessments preparation, 203–206
 - blackboard configuration, 200–201
 - cognitive demand and (*see* Academic tasks and cognitive demand)
 - coherence and, 198
 - common elements across classrooms, 221–222
 - concerns about kids being overwhelmed, 223–224
 - curricula planning, 202–203
 - lessons for replication, 224–226
 - level and rigor of engagement, 224
 - pedagogical challenges, 224
 - time on task maximization, 201–202
 - variation in instruction and, 198–199, 222–223
- Instruction Guidebook* at Pacific Rim, 75, 84, 190
- Kendall, J.S., 211, 216, 220
- Kennedy, Mary, 201
- KG-PRIDE program at Pacific Rim, 76, 87, 193
- Knowledge Is Power Program (KIPP), 113
- Koretz, D., 231
- Lake, Robin, 155
- Letts, C., 118
- Levin, David, 113
- Lightfoot, Sara Lawrence, 135
- Lubienski, C., 163

- Lubienski, S.T., 163
- Mad Minutes, 42
- MAPP score, Boston Collegiate, 55, 193
- Marzano, R.J., 211, 216, 220
- Marzano and Kendall's Taxonomy, 211
- Massachusetts Charter Public School Association, 3–4
- Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS)
- Boston Collegiate results, 58
 - Community Day results, 29–30
 - curricula planning for, 202
 - MATCH results, 1
 - Pacific Rim results, 80
 - preparation for, 203–206
 - results table, 239
 - Roxbury Prep results, 38
 - variations in results achieved, 1–2
- Massachusetts Education Reform Act (1993), 2
- MATCH Charter School
- afternoon instruction routine, 91–92
 - assessment data use, 188–189
 - attitude toward teacher retention, 160–161
 - Code of Conduct, 100–107
 - college prep focus, 138
 - commitment to continuous improvement, 143
 - demerits and detention system, 106–107
 - demographics, 91
 - expectations for students, 136
 - formal evaluation systems, 187–188
 - hiring from within, 152
 - hiring process, 156, 162
 - MATCH Core program, 95–96, 98–99, 138
 - MCAS results, 1
 - merits and demerits system, 191, 192–193
 - mission statement, 96–97, 132
 - morning routine, 89–91
 - phone calls home, 100
 - relationship tripod, 97–98, 165
 - screening students and parents for culture fit, 105–106
 - screening teachers for culture fit, 104–105
 - student of the month program, 100–101
 - student-teacher interactions, 100, 101, 176
 - summer academy, 105
 - systemized observation of teachers, 184, 186
 - teacher induction and mentoring, 158
 - teachers' monitoring of own effectiveness, 190
 - teachers' sense of urgency, 107–109
 - theory of action, 135
 - time allocation, 179
 - tutoring sessions, 93–94
- MATCH Core program, 95–96, 98–99, 138
- MCAS. *See* Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System
- Miron, G., 159
- Mission statements
- Boston Collegiate, 131
 - coherence and, 145
 - Community Day, 17, 131–132, 133
 - criteria for effective statements, 131–133
 - functions of, 130–131
 - MATCH, 96–97, 132
 - Pacific Rim, 131, 132–133
 - Roxbury Prep, 37–38, 132
- Monroe, Lorraine, 41, 200
- Murnane, R.J., 188
- Nadler, David, 119, 120
- No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB), 3, 114–115
- Oliver Wyman Group, 11
- O'Reilly, C.A., 120
- Organizational models
- congruence model, 120
 - CSCM (*see* Charter School Coherence Model)
 - described, 119–120
 - instructional core element, 120–121
 - PELP Framework, 173
- Oster, S., 130, 145
- Pacific Rim Enrichment Program (PREP), 79–80
- Parents
- culture at Community Day and, 22–23
 - data compiled for parents at Community Day, 31

Parents (*continued*)

- influence of parental choice on success, 163–165
- mechanisms to ensure parental cooperation, 166
- parent-teacher relationship at Community Day, 165
- schools' expectations for families, 139
- screening students and parents for culture fit at MATCH, 105–106
- See also* Students and families

PEGs (Personal Education Goals), 31

PELP Coherence Framework, 120–122, 173

People

- being willing to fire poor performers, 161–162
- downside to internal hiring, 154
- evaluating “fit,” 149–150
- homegrown leadership use, 152–154
- leadership retention, 151–152
- lessons for replication, 167–168
- mission-oriented leadership, 151
- students and families (*see* Students and families)

teachers (*see* Teachers)

Personal Education Goals (PEGs), 31

Peters, T.J., 120

Peterson, K., 128

Phillips, J.R., 120

Porter, Andrew, 206, 215

Porter, Michael, 129, 142

Public Education Leadership Project (PELP), 120–122, 173

Purkey, S., 112

The Red Book, Boston Collegiate, 184, 189

Reeves, D., 114

Roxbury Preparatory Charter School

- academic support system, 47–48
- assessment data use, 188, 189
- attitude toward retention, 160–161
- behavior management, 46–47
- blackboard configuration, 200
- boundary objects use, 184
- college prep focus, 138
- culture, 127

- curricula planning, 38–40, 202
- daily schedule, 47
- demographics, 35
- expectations for families, 139
- expectations for staff, 140, 141
- expectations for students, 136–137
- formal positive reinforcement, 193
- group work implementation, 42–43
- high school prep efforts, 48–49
- hiring from within, 153
- hiring process, 155, 156, 157, 162
- lessons execution, 45–46
- lessons plans, 41–43
- MCAS results, 2, 38
- mechanism to ensure parental cooperation, 166
- merits and demerits system, 191
- mission statement, 37–38, 132
- student-teacher interactions, 176
- systemized observation of teachers, 183
- teacher induction and mentoring, 157, 158
- teacher inquiry groups, 44–45
- theory of action, 135
- time allocation, 178
- typical day described, 33–36
- weekly assembly, 36–37
- willingness to fire poor performers, 162

Ryan, W., 118

SAT tests, 60, 204, 205–206, 240

Schein, Edgar, 128

Shulman, L.S., 222

Sizer, T., 222

Smith, M., 112

SOAPS analysis, 217–218, 220

Spillane, J., 183

Spirit Stick, 47

Staff. *See* Instructional leaders; Teachers

Stein, M., 206

Structures in high-performing charters

- assessments preparation, 203–206
- blackboard configuration, 200–201
- curricula planning, 202–203
- instructional leaders use, 174, 175
- lessons for replication, 195–196
- monitoring of individual students, 174, 176

- number of school days and hours, 177
- time allocation, 177–182
- time on task maximization, 201–202
- traditional schools' separation of duties, 173–174
- Student and Family Handbook*, Boston Collegiate, 191
- Students and families
 - importance of student fit, 167
 - influence of parental choice, 163–165
 - mechanism to ensure parental cooperation, 165–167
 - See also* Parents
- Student-teacher interactions
 - Boston Collegiate, 55, 68, 176
 - Community Day, 24–25, 176
 - MATCH, 100, 101, 176
 - Pacific Rim, 81–83, 176
 - Roxbury Prep, 176
- Systems in high-performing charter schools
 - assessment data use, 188–190
 - coherence and, 194
 - contribution to culture, 182, 186
 - formal evaluation systems, 187–188
 - internal accountability, 182–183
 - lessons for replication, 195–196
 - observation of teachers with feedback, 183–184
 - student management, 191–194
 - systems described, 182
- Teacher Retention Committee, Boston Collegiate, 64, 161
- Teachers
 - annual turnover rates, 158–159
 - charters' willingness to fire poor performers, 161–162
 - commitment to students at Pacific Rim, 81–83
 - cost of attrition, 160
 - culture at Community Day and, 23
 - development and acculturation at Boston Collegiate, 62–63
 - evaluating “fit,” 149–150
 - formal evaluation systems, 187–188
 - hiring process, 155–157
 - importance to school success, 154–155
 - induction and mentoring, 157–158
 - inquiry groups at Roxbury Prep, 44–45
 - link between teacher improvement and firing, 186
 - merit bonus at Pacific Rim, 84
 - monitoring of own effectiveness, 190
 - observation of teachers with verbal feedback, 183–184
 - peer observation at Pacific Rim, 84–85
 - professional relationships among staff members, 24
 - recruitment and hiring at Boston Collegiate, 61
 - retraining at Boston Collegiate, 63–65
 - schools' expectations for staff, 140–141
 - student-teacher interactions (*see* Student-teacher interactions)
 - teachers-turned-leaders in charter schools, 152–154
 - test data analysis and use at Community Day, 29–31
 - use of group work at Roxbury Prep, 42–43
- Teach for America, 113
- Theories of action, 134–135
- Tushman, Michael, 119, 120
- Urban schools
 - business strategy applied to education, 118–119
 - charter school movement, 115–116
 - definition of nonprofit, 118
 - importance of coherence, 117–118
 - organizational models (*see* Organizational models)
 - problems with element-by-element approach, 117–118
 - research into effectiveness of, 112–113
 - school reform through standardization, 113–115
 - success elements, 116–117
- Walser, Nancy, 232
- Waterman, R.H., 120